From Newton's Sensorium to Sarkar's Cosmic Mind: Resolving Philosophy's Hardest Problem

Richard Gauthier

Abstract

This article traces the historical and metaphysical lineage of the "hard problem" of consciousness, originating in Isaac Newton's 1704 *Opticks* where he identified a strict conceptual boundary between physical nerve vibrations and subjective color sensations. This explanatory gap fueled the celebrated Leibniz-Clarke Correspondence (1715–1716), wherein Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz rejected Newton's "Sensorium of God" but offered an equally unsatisfactory, dualistic solution in his theory of Pre-established Harmony. Mainstream Western philosophy and neuroscience remain deadlocked by this interaction paradox due to their commitment to bottom-up physicalism. This paper demonstrates that philosopher and spiritual teacher Prabhat Ranjan Sarkar's consciousness-first cosmology (Brahmacakra), outlined in *Ananda Sutram*, provides an airtight resolution. By modeling physical matter as highly condensed cosmic mind-stuff (citta) and mapping sensory perception through tanmatras at neuro-psychic "appropriative points," Sarkar dissolves the interaction paradox, a framework recently formalized in modern quantum terms by Gauthier's Tanmatron Field Theory. – Saint Louis, USA, September 16, 2026

Introduction

In his 1704 masterpiece *Opticks*[1], Sir Isaac Newton introduced a profound division into the study of nature. He observed that light rays are not inherently colored; they are merely physical vectors with a disposition to propagate physical motions into the human sensorium (the seat of sensation in the brain). Once inside, however, these mechanical vibrations undergo a mysterious metamorphosis: they become subjective "sensations of those motions under the forms of colours".

Newton clearly recognized the boundary line of classical physics. He understood that mechanical contact could track a vibration up the optic nerve, but it could never explain the emergence of the conscious, felt quality of a color. To bridge this gap, Newton operated as a mind-body dualist, assuming an immaterial human soul was immediately present within the sensorium to perceive these incoming physical tremors.

The Leibniz-Newton Clash

This foundational division exploded into the celebrated Leibniz-Clarke Correspondence[2] (1715–1716). Defending Newton, Samuel Clarke argued that just as the human soul requires a localized sensorium to perceive nearby motions, the omnipresent God requires an infinite, absolute space—the "Sensorium of God"—to immediately perceive and mechanically govern the entire cosmos.

Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz launched a scathing rationalist counterattack. He argued that treating absolute space as a divine sensory organ degraded God into a "cosmic soul" trapped inside a giant universe-brain, dependent on physical vibrations to know His own creation. Furthermore, Leibniz mocked the Newtonian view of a universe that required constant divine intervention to keep from running down, comparing it to a clock made by an incompetent watchmaker.

To solve the interaction problem without relying on Newton's active divine intervention, Leibniz proposed his theory of Pre-established Harmony[3]. He asserted that mind and matter never actually interact. Instead, God pre-programmed the mental realm and the physical realm at the dawn of creation like two perfectly synchronized clocks running in parallel.

The Failure of Parallelism and the "Hard Problem"

Historically, Western philosophy has largely rejected Leibniz's Pre-established Harmony as an insufficient and "lame" solution. It relies on an extreme theological *deus ex machina* that effectively erases human free will and constructs a completely rigid, deterministic universe.

Yet, by rejecting Leibniz, Western science defaulted to a bottom-up physicalism that remains trapped by the very same conceptual wall Newton faced. Centuries later, philosopher David Chalmers famously formalized this as the "hard problem" of consciousness[4]: how do objective, physical brain processes give rise to subjective, inner experiences (*qualia*)? Because physicalism insists that reality is fundamentally made of dead, unfeeling matter, it possesses no logical mechanism to explain how physical molecules suddenly spark into a subjective feeling.

Sarkar's Airtight Resolution

A rational way out of this 300-year deadlock requires flipping the materialist paradigm upside down. This is precisely what Indian philosopher Prabhat Ranjan Sarkar achieved in his philosophical treatise, *Ananda Sutram*[5].

Rather than attempting to squeeze consciousness out of dead matter, Sarkar posits a consciousness-first cosmology (*Brahmacakra*). In this framework, the universe is a macrocosmic thought projection. Pure Consciousness, using its infinite creative power, qualified and condensed a portion of itself into a Cosmic Mind, which further condensed within its objective "mental plate" (Cosmic Citta) to become the universe that we perceive as physical matter and energy.

Sarkar's model provides an elegant, airtight resolution to the historical perception controversy:

- **The Mechanism of Tanmatras:** Physical objects are not alien substances to the mind; they are condensed cosmic mind-stuff. These objects emit *tanmatras* (psychic sensory inferences of sound, touch, form, taste and smell) closely linked with emitted physical vibrations like photons, sound waves, etc.
- **The Neuro-Psychic Junction:** When these psychic tanmatras travel down human sensory nerves, they reach precise "appropriative points"—the psychophysical gateways where the nervous system meets the individual mind.
- **The Production of Conscious Sensations in the Mind:** At these junction points, the tanmatric vibrations separate from their associated physical vibrations and stamp their form onto the individual's *citta* (mental plate). The mind's *aham* (ego), *mahat* (I-awareness) and *atman* (individual self), the reflection of Cosmic Consciousness on the mind, then witness this vibrational modification of the citta, producing conscious subjective experiences such as colors and sounds.

Why the Solution Holds

Sarkar's consciousness-first philosophy solves the crisis that trapped both Newton and Leibniz by dismantling their shared, flawed dualistic assumption, also earlier made by Descartes[6], that mind and matter are completely different substances.

Under Sarkar's monistic idealism, the physical world can seamlessly interface with the mental world because physical matter is inherently mental at its root, and both physical and mental objects are derived from Cosmic Consciousness. A recent research program, Gauthier's *Tanmatron Field Theory*[7], has even begun translating Sarkar's tanmatric approach into the mathematical language of modern quantum mechanics, explaining many consciousness and quantum enigmas. A tanmatron consists of a physical vibration, like a photon having a particular frequency for red light, coupled with a psychic tanmatra, for example, one carrying a particular shade of "redness". By demonstrating that the universe behaves more like a grand thought than a grand machine, Sarkar's consciousness-first approach finally bridges the previously-thought-unbridgeable gap, resolving the hard problem by showing that the gap-closing links are tanmatric ripples in the cosmic ocean.

Conclusion

The three-hundred-year impasse stretching from Newton's *sensorium* to modern physicalism stems not from a lack of neuroscientific detail, but from a fundamental category error: treating mind and matter as mutually exclusive, independent substances. Where Newton required direct divine intervention to cross the Cartesian divide and Leibniz resorted to pre-programmed parallelism, physicalism trapped itself by attempting to construct subjective qualia out of mindless matter.

Prabhat Ranjan Sarkar's top-down cosmology (*Brahmacakra*) dismantles this dualistic trap at its root. By demonstrating that physical matter is itself condensed mental plate (*citta*)

originating within Cosmic Consciousness, the "hard problem" dissolves. Perception is no longer a miraculous leap across an ontological abyss, but a continuous, structured field interaction: tanmatras travel down sensory nerves to cortical appropriative points, projecting their qualitative essences onto the individual *citta* to be illuminated by the *atman*.

With the recent extension of this architecture into quantum mechanics via Tanmatron Field Theory, Sarkar's framework moves from metaphysical vision to a rigorous, empirically testable research program. By demonstrating that physical waves and mental tanmatras are co-equal aspects of a single cosmic continuum, we move beyond the mechanical cosmos of classical and quantum physics into a coherent universe where mind, matter, and subjective experience are unified—proving that the cosmos is indeed a grand, conscious thought rather than an unfeeling machine.

References

1. **Newton, I.** (1952). *Opticks: Or, a treatise of the reflections, refractions, inflections & colours of light*. Dover Publications. (Original work published 1704)
2. **Alexander, H. G.** (Ed.). (1956). *The Leibniz-Clarke Correspondence: Together with Extracts from Newton's Opticks and Principia*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
3. **Leibniz, G. W.** (2014). *Leibniz's Monadology: A new translation and guide* (L. Strickland, Trans.). Edinburgh University Press. (Original work written 1714).
4. **Chalmers, D. J.** (1995). Facing up to the problem of consciousness. *J. Conscious. Stud*., 2(3): 200–219.
5. **Sarkar, P. R.** (Shrii Shrii Anandamurti). (1962). *Ananda Sutram*. Calcutta: Ananda Marga Publications.
6. **Descartes, R.** (1996). *Meditations on First Philosophy.* (J. Cottingham, Trans. & Ed.). Cambridge University Press. (Original work published 1641.)
7. **Gauthier, R.** (2026). "Explaining Qualia and Quantum Wave Collapse with Tanmatron Field Theory: A Dual-Aspect Research Program Unifying Consciousness Science and Quantum Foundations." *Academia.edu*. Available at: Academia.edu Research Paper.

Contact Email: richgauthier@gmail.com
Research Repository: https://richardgauthier.academia.edu/research

Acknowledgments: The author was assisted in structuring and refining this manuscript using Google AI tools.
September 16, 2026, Saint Louis, USA,

Copyright © 2026 by Richard Gauthier. All rights reserved.