

Tanmatron Field Theory Resolves the Mind-Matter Divide through Unified Dual-Aspect Reality

Richard Gauthier
Saint Louis, USA

Accepted: The Science of Consciousness Conference (TSC) Oct. 11-16, 2026, San Diego, USA

Abstract

The ancient subjective-objective divide remains the central bottleneck across contemporary physics, neurobiology, and the philosophy of mind. Mainstream physicalism relies on a bottom-up reductionism that treats consciousness as an accidental epiphenomenon, while alternative dualisms frequently succumb to the combination problem. This paper introduces Tanmatron Field Theory (TFT), a mathematically constrained, predictive dual-aspect field theory that expands the state-space of standard Quantum Field Theory (QFT) by coupling physical fields to a five-dimensional Tanmatric State Vector $\Phi_{\text{Tanmatric}}$. TFT formalizes Prabhat Ranjan Sarkar's cosmological laws from his philosophical treatise Ananda Sutram—specifically the Law of Attributional Capacity—into a constant scalar invariant conservation law. Grounded in the invariant physical property of frequency, the principle of Physical Phase-Locking establishes how subjective qualities are deterministically bound to physical wave dynamics. Rather than relying on statistical or probabilistic frameworks to identify sensory gateways, TFT defines biological Appropriative Points (A-points) as deterministic, spatial boundary conditions. These points are located precisely where classical neurophysiological signals reach absolute anatomical 'geographical dead ends' in the brain. At these terminal coordinates, the physical signal collapses, and its quantitative energy is conserved by transitioning into non-local wave vibrations on the mental plate (Citta), manifesting as qualitative experiences (qualia).

By replacing bottom-up fragmentation with a top-down cosmic field interaction, TFT provides systemic, definitive resolutions to fourteen foundational consciousness paradoxes and modern challenges that have long stymied Western thought: 1) René Descartes' original Mind-Body interaction problem, 2) David Chalmers' 'Hard Problem' of consciousness, 3) John Locke's Inverted Spectrum, 4) John Searle's Chinese Room, 5) Frank Jackson's 'Mary's Room' knowledge argument, 6) Thomas Nagel's Bat's Perception anomaly, 7) the epistemological deadlock of Direct vs. Indirect Realism, 8) the neurophysiological Binding Problem, 9) the challenge of AI Consciousness and true artificial sentience, 10) Ned Block's Absent Qualia Paradox and the possibility of philosophical zombies, 11) the Evolutionary Paradox of consciousness regarding functional redundancy, 12) the Boundary/ Combination Problem of Panpsychism, 13) the Paradox of Non-Local Awareness during flatline events like Near-Death Experiences and Deep Anesthesia, and 14) the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) Paradox, demonstrating that quantum faster-than-light entanglement is a direct physical consequence of the non-spatial, qualitative unity underlying

the $\Phi_{\text{Tanmatric}}$ field. Finally, the paper demonstrates the practical utility of TFT by outlining a blueprint for Eco-Phenomenological Virtual Reality (VR) frameworks, positioning the theory as a functional 'empathy engine' that can validate the universal, ethical tenets of Sarkar's Neohumanism.

Keywords: Tanmatron Field Theory, Consciousness Studies, Mind-Matter Relation, Unified Field Theory, Philosophy of Mind, Hard Problem of Consciousness, Dual-Aspect Monism, Physicalism, Panpsychism, Foundations of Quantum Mechanics, Philosophy of Science.

“Wherever there is any semblance or sign of existence there is bound to be a corresponding vibration or wave, and that vibration must be associated with some inferential expression (tanmātra) or the other. Do you understand? A vibration is always dependent on an inferential expression (tanmātra). Different tanmātras are associated with different vibrations. That is how from these vibrations, inferences such as sound, touch, form, taste and smell continue to saturate the universe... Varña (mental color) is also a kind of tanmātra... But He who is the Creator of all these, the Primordial Cause, that Supreme Entity (Parama Puruṣa) remains colorless, beyond the bondage of tanmātras...”

— Prabhat Ranjan Sarkar

Source: “Parama Puruṣa – the Primordial Cause”, 12 April 1979, Calcutta

1. Introduction and Background: The Historical Fracture

1.1 The Historical Fracture

The separation of mind and matter is not an inherent feature of nature, but a historical artifact of Western scientific methodology. To construct a predictable, mechanical view of the universe, early natural philosophers found it epistemologically necessary to strip physical matter of its felt, experiential qualities. Over centuries, this temporary methodological omission was mistaken for an absolute ontological reality. Modern science inherited a fractured cosmos: an objective world of pure, blind quantities, and a subjective world of isolated, unexplainable qualities.

1.2 The Failure of Bottom-Up Reductionism

This foundational split forces contemporary physicalism to attempt an impossible feat: generating the qualitative texture of experience (the smell of a rose, the deep blue of the sky) from the purely quantitative interactions of non-conscious particles. Conversely, standard dualisms fail to provide a rigorous, bidirectional mechanism showing how a non-physical mind can interact with physical tissue without violating the conservation of energy. Tanmatron Field Theory (TFT) resolves this impasse by returning to an underlying, unfragmented holism where mind and matter are co-equal, dual-aspect expressions of a singular field. By integrating Sarkar's concepts of tanmatras (sensory inferences) with QFT, the physical and the experiential are mathematically reunified.

2. The Exile of Quality

The dualistic fracture began in the 5th Century BCE with ancient Greek atomism. Democritus of Abdera asserted that the universe consists entirely of indivisible particles moving through empty space: 'By convention sweet is sweet, bitter is bitter, hot is hot, cold is cold, color is color; but in reality there are only atoms and the void.' [Fragment 9]

By declaring that sensory experiences are mere subjective illusions generated when external atoms collide with human sense organs, Democritus established a lasting precedent: real physics deals exclusively with measurable quantities (spatial dimensions, weights, vectors); sensory qualities belong strictly to the realm of the observer.

The Mathematical Split

During the 17th-century Scientific Revolution, Galileo Galilei codified this intuition into the explicit engine of modern empirical science. Galileo stated that the 'Book of Nature' is written in the language of mathematics. For physics to achieve mathematical precision, it had to systematically exclude subjective sensations. He posited that properties like shape, number, and motion remain stable even if an observer is removed. Conversely, smells, tastes, and colors are localized within the sensing consciousness.

Shortly after, René Descartes formalized this boundary into a rigid, unyielding metaphysical dualism, dividing reality into two mutually exclusive substances:

1. Res Extensa (Extended Substance): The physical world, characterized by mass, extension, and spatial dimension, governed by deterministic, mechanical laws.
2. Res Cogitans (Thinking Substance): The unextended, non-spatial mind, where all qualitative experiences, intentions, and raw qualia reside.

While this division allowed physical sciences to flourish by bypassing the complexities of the mind, it created a massive, enduring explanatory vacuum: if matter is defined as completely devoid of subjective qualities, a physical brain can never logically generate, alter, or interact with conscious experience.

The Empirical Deadlock

As empiricism gained prominence in the 17th and 18th centuries, philosophers wrestled with the immediate epistemological consequence of the Cartesian split: how can we truly know an external physical world if our conscious minds only ever directly encounter our own internal sensations?

John Locke attempted to standardize the Galilean split by dividing material properties into Primary and Secondary Qualities:

- Primary Qualities: Attributes like size, shape, and motion that are objective, mind-independent, and exist intrinsically within the object itself.
- Secondary Qualities: Attributes like color, sound, and taste, which are merely latent powers within the object's primary structure to produce specific sensations within the observer.

George Berkeley recognized a fatal logical flaw in Locke's formulation. Berkeley argued that it is psychologically and physically impossible to perceive an object's primary qualities—such as its shape or motion—without simultaneously perceiving its secondary qualities, such as its color, texture, or visual boundary. Therefore, primary and secondary qualities are ontologically inseparable. Because everything we experience is fundamentally mediated by the mind, Berkeley collapsed the independent physical world entirely, asserting *esse est percipi* (to be is to be perceived).

Rather than resolving the fracture, Berkeley's subjective idealism simply inverted it, shifting the pendulum from physical reductionism to absolute mentalism. Both paths failed to realize that quantities (vibrations) and qualities (produced by tanmatras associated with vibrations) are not separate substances, but co-dependent, dual-aspect expressions of a singular underlying cosmic order.

3. The Modern Impasse: Physicalism vs. Panpsychism

For the past century, mainstream science has operated under the paradigm of absolute physicalism. This framework attempts to explain consciousness as a late-stage, bottom-up 'emergent property' of complex, non-conscious biological wiring. Despite massive advancements in functional neuroimaging, physicalism remains fundamentally bottlenecked by David Chalmers' 'Hard Problem' of consciousness: no amount of quantitative rearranging of purely objective matter—whether it be action potentials, neurotransmitter cascades, or synaptic networks—can logically explain why or how those structural mechanisms should be accompanied by an internal, felt, qualitative experiences.

This structural disconnect creates an unbridged explanatory gap. Physicalism can map the functional, structural, and behavioral correlates of consciousness (the 'easy problems'), but it cannot explain why the execution of these functions feels like something from the inside. It fails to provide an entailment relation between objective physical states and subjective phenomenal states.

To bypass this reductive failure, contemporary panpsychism has re-emerged as a popular alternative. This view suggests that consciousness is a fundamental and ubiquitous feature of the universe, meaning that even elementary particles (such as quarks and electrons) possess primitive, intrinsic mental attributes. However, constitutive panpsychism suffers from its own fatal flaw -- the Combination Problem: if individual subatomic particles possess isolated, micro-conscious perspectives, how do billions of these independent micro-experiences cleanly merge to form the single, unified, macro-coherent conscious self that characterizes human subjectivity? Without an overarching field mechanism, panpsychism fragments into a million disconnected viewpoints, mirroring the same atomistic isolation it sought to cure.

4. Contemporary Quantum Biology: The Limits of Orch-OR

The most prominent contemporary scientific framework attempting to locate consciousness within physics is the Orchestrated Objective Reduction (Orch-OR) theory, developed by physicist Sir Roger Penrose and anesthesiologist Stuart Hameroff. Orch-OR correctly looks beyond the limitations of classical, Newtonian neuroscience. It posits that consciousness does not emerge from complex classical neural circuits, but rather from quantum computations occurring within microtubules—the structural cylindrical proteins that form the cytoskeleton of biological neurons.

According to this model, within nerve cells, quantum superpositions of tubulin states are insulated within the microtubular core until they reach a specific self-collapse energy threshold governed by Diósi–Penrose quantum gravity:

$$E = \hbar / t$$

Where E is the gravitational self-energy of the superposed tubulin mass, $\hbar$ is the reduced Planck constant $h/2\pi$, and t is the coherence time-frame.

While Orch-OR provides an elegant framework for quantum-level biology, it faces two major limitations that prevent it from fully resolving the mind-matter divide:

1. **Biological Isolation and the Problem of Quantum Decoherence:** Orch-OR confines the active mechanism of consciousness to highly specific, shielded biological structures (neural microtubules). By doing so, it implicitly treats the rest of the physical universe as essentially dead, mechanical, or non-conscious until biological life evolves to harness it. Furthermore, it faces severe criticism from mainstream physics regarding quantum decoherence. Biological brains are warm, wet, and noisy environments. According to standard quantum mechanics, these conditions should cause wavefunctions to collapse almost instantly (10^{-13} seconds), destroying the necessary quantum coherence long before macroscopic, conscious orchestration can occur.
2. **The Phenomenal Bonding Problem:** Orch-OR ultimately scales down the combination problem rather than solving it. It fails to explain how millions of isolated, micro-gravitational quantum collapses occurring in separate microtubules across disparate cortical regions cleanly unify into a single, seamless, macroscopic human ego. Without an underlying, continuous field to catch and organize these discrete quantum bursts, the resulting experience would be a chaotic, flashing strobe of fragmented micro-perceptions rather than a unified stream of consciousness.

5. The Mirror Image: The 'Hard Problem' of Advaita Monism

While Western physicalism fails to explain how mindless matter generates conscious mind, classical Eastern Advaita Monism flips the paradigm but runs directly into its own mirror-image dilemma. Advaita posits that Brahman (Pure, undifferentiated, non-dual Consciousness) is the sole fundamental reality. The objective, physical universe is relegated to the status of Maya—an illusion, a cosmic misperception, or an epistemological superimposition (*adhyasa*) upon the absolute substrate of awareness.

This idealistic framework gives rise to Advaita's Hard Problem: If the ultimate reality is entirely non-dual, changeless, and devoid of attributes (Nirguṇa), how and why does it fragment into the concrete, objective laws of physics, rigorous mathematical constants, and highly distinct, localized individual minds?

By dismissing physical matter as an ontological illusion (vivartavada), Advaita struggles to provide a predictive, scientifically verifiable account of material causality. It creates an explanatory gap between pure, unconditioned awareness and the highly precise, mathematical calculations observed in quantum mechanics and general relativity.

Physicalism and absolute idealism leave modern science at an impasse:

The Physicalist Error: Treats quality as an accidental epiphenomenon of quantitative systems.

The Absolute Idealist Error: Treats quantity as an accidental illusion of qualitative systems.

Both frameworks fail because they view mind and matter as mutually exclusive or hierarchically subverted substances. To bridge this divide, a cosmology must treat both the mathematical rigor of physical fields and the qualitative architecture of sensory experience as co-equal, dual-aspect expressions of a single underlying continuum. This is the precise mathematical and metaphysical foundation laid by Tanmatron Field Theory (TFT).

6. The Solution: Tanmatron Field Theory (TFT)

The historical deadlock between quantitative physicalism and qualitative idealism stems from a shared, flawed assumption: that physical metrics and subjective experiences belong to entirely different categories of reality. Building upon a foundational framework, Gauthier (2026a) initially proposed Conscion Field Theory (CFT), a dual-aspect model derived from the progressive cosmology of Prabhat Ranjan Sarkar as articulated in his philosophical treatise Ananda Sutram (1962). To align the theory more precisely with its metaphysical and physical roots, Gauthier (2026b) updated the framework, renaming the dual-aspect quantum from the conscion to the tanmatron. Consequently, CFT has evolved into Tanmatron Field Theory (TFT).

TFT resolves the 2,500-year-old mind-matter debate by shifting the paradigm from qualitative philosophy to a mathematically constrained, dual-aspect field theory. Rather than treating subjectivity as an unmeasurable illusion (Democritus, Galileo) or abandoning the physical world to absolute idealism (Berkeley, Advaita), TFT posits that the fundamental fabric of the universe possesses two distinct, inseparable, and quantifiable sets of properties:

1. Quantitative Physical Properties: Mass (m), electric charge (q), intrinsic spin (s), and spacetime coordinates (x^μ).
2. Quantitative Subjective Tanmatric Properties: Measurable intensities of raw sensory data, proto-experiential field values, and qualitative informational vectors.

The Anatomy of the Tanmatron and the Mechanics of Perception

The fundamental unit of this framework is the tanmatron—defined explicitly as a dual-aspect quantum consisting of a physical vibration coupled to an inferential sensory essence (tanmatra). The tanmatron does not generate sensory qualities; rather, it acts as the universal conduit for them.

When external objects interact, they emanate physical vibrational waves that carry subtle, intrinsic tanmatras (such as the specific vibrational frequency of a photon carrying the sensory inference a particular shade of 'redness'). These dual-aspect waves traverse external space and enter our biological sensory organs. Other tanmatrons then propagate along the physical nervous system via electrochemical pathways.

This physical infrastructure guides the tanmatron waves to specific, highly localized 'appropriative points' within the neural architecture. At these localized neural regions, the tanmatrons uncouple and their tanmatras strike and create vibrations in the individual's mental plate (citta). These vibrations are seen or experienced as qualia (such as the conscious perception of a brilliant red hue) by the I-do or ego portion of the mind, supported by the mind's conscious self.

Bypassing the Combination Problem

This field-theoretic mechanism completely bypasses the combination problem that cripples contemporary panpsychism. Panpsychism incorrectly attempts a bottom-up assembly, trying to glue isolated atomic consciousnesses together. TFT, following Sarkar's cosmological architecture, operates top-down:

Cosmic Field Topology —► Unified Macroscopic Fields —► Localized Biological Gateways

Subjectivity is already built directly into the foundational field structure of the universe as a continuous, unified aspect. Biological brains do not generate consciousness, nor do they bind independent micro-conscious particles; rather, they act as highly specialized, tuned antennas that select, channel, and focus specific frequencies of the pre-existing universal tanmatric field.

7. TFT vs. Panpsychism: Distinguishing Fields from Particles

Because Tanmatron Field Theory (TFT) embeds subjective parameters at the foundational layer of reality, it is critical to delineate why it does not collapse into a variation of contemporary Panpsychism. The distinction is not merely semantic; it is foundational, resting on a structural shift from a particle-centric, atomistic ontology to a rigorous, top-down field-theoretic framework.

Metaphysical Nature: Atomism vs. Field-Theoretic Continuity. Contemporary constitutive panpsychism remains tethered to a classical Newtonian bias: it views the universe as a collection of independent, fundamental micro-particles, and then appends a primitive layer of 'interiority' or 'proto-consciousness' to each discrete particle. Matter remains primary, and mind is treated as an isolated property trapped inside it.

Conversely, TFT aligns with the paradigm of modern Quantum Field Theory (QFT). In QFT, independent, self-contained particles do not exist as primary ontological entities; instead, the

fundamental substrate of reality consists of continuous, all-pervading, universal fields. Particles are merely localized, quantized excitations—fluctuations generated when these fields interact at specific energy thresholds. TFT applies this exact mathematical logic to sensory qualities. The tanmatron field is fundamentally non-local, continuous, and all-pervading.

The Status of Consciousness: Interior Property vs. Dual-Aspect Continuum. In the panpsychist framework, an electron possesses its own private, isolated micro-experience, completely cut off from the micro-experience of an adjacent quark. This creates a deeply fragmented cosmos.

TFT completely rejects this localized isolation. A subatomic particle does not possess its own private, independent consciousness. Rather, a particle's quantitative subjective tanmātric properties are simply localized metrics of the global, universal mind-field interacting with that particle's physical manifestation. Subjectivity does not sit inside the particle; rather, the dual aspect particle exists within a continuous, dual-aspect cosmic field.

Absolute Immunity to the Combination and Bonding Problems. Panpsychism inevitably succumbs to the combination problem—and its neurophysiological counterpart, the binding problem—because it attempts a bottom-up construction of macro-consciousness. It forces itself to invent a speculative, unverified mechanism to 'glue' millions of independent atomic minds into a single, seamless human self. TFT is fundamentally immune to this vulnerability because it reverses the direction of causality. Because the cosmic tanmatron field is already a single, continuous, unified entity at the foundational layer of reality, there are no fragmented 'mind-atoms' that need to be combined. TFT replaces the impossible task of combining fragments with the mathematically elegant process of localizing a whole.

8. The Quantitative Development of TFT: Methodological Foundations

To satisfy the modern physicist and the analytical philosopher, Tanmatron Field Theory (TFT) does not treat subjective properties as vague, unquantifiable epiphenomena. Instead, it defines them as mathematically precise, quantitative tanmātric intensities. In classical and quantum field theories, a physical field assigns a tensor value to every point in spacetime coordinates (x^μ). TFT expands this standard framework by introducing a parallel, coupled subjective field component directly into the action of the universe.

Every fundamental particle or field excitation is modeled as a dual-aspect wave packet. Here, standard physical parameters—mass (m), electric charge (q), and intrinsic spin (s)—exist in complete mathematical synchronization with a multi-dimensional vector of subjective intensities.

The Five-Dimensional Tanmātric State Vector

To represent the full spectrum of qualitative existential inferences, TFT formalizes the five distinct tanmātras (sensory essences) from P.R. Sarkar's Ananda Sutram into a continuous, complex Five-Dimensional Tanmātric State Vector, denoted as $\Phi_{\text{Tanmātric}}(x^\mu) = [I_{\text{sound}}, I_{\text{touch}}, I_{\text{form}}, I_{\text{taste}}, I_{\text{smell}}]^T$. Where each component I_n represents the precise mathematical value or amplitude of a proto-

sensory field (e.g., proto-resonance, proto-impact, or proto-luminosity) at the spacetime coordinate (x^μ).

Expanding the Lagrangian Framework

In standard Quantum Field Theory, the behavior of a system is derived from its Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_{\text{QFT}}$. TFT expands the total Lagrangian density of the universe to establish a deterministic, non-reductive interaction between physical and mental parameters:

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Total}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{Physical}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{Tanmatric}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{Interaction}}$ where $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Interaction}}$ defines the precise coupling constant (κ) between standard physical field fluctuations and the parallel subjective state vector.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Interaction}} = \kappa \varphi^2 (\Phi_{\text{Tanmatric}}^\dagger \Phi_{\text{Tanmatric}}).$$

Formalizing the Law of Attributional Capacity

TFT derives a strict conservation law governing the five fundamental factors (bhutas) based on Sarkar's Sutra 1-8 (Guñabandhanena guñābhivyaktih) and Sutra 1-10 (Guñaprabhāvena bhūtaśaungharśādbalam). The sum of the tanmatric intensities is normalized to a fixed maximum value:

$$\Sigma I_n = I_{\text{sound}} + I_{\text{touch}} + I_{\text{form}} + I_{\text{taste}} + I_{\text{smell}} = C_{\text{Total}} = 100.$$

This tracks the differential qualifications of the factors from the Ethereal

$$[\Phi_{\text{Ether}} = (C_{\text{Total}}, 0, 0, 0, 0)^T]$$

to the Solid

$$[\Phi_{\text{Solid}} = (I_{\text{sound}}, I_{\text{touch}}, I_{\text{form}}, I_{\text{taste}}, I_{\text{smell}})^T].$$

9. Physical Phase-Locking: Frequency and Quality

In TFT, the qualitative type of a subjective experience—such as the specific shade of a visual color or the exact pitch of an auditory tone—is deterministically specified by physical frequencies. For example, a specific range of photon frequencies phase-locks onto a precise coordinate band within the proto-luminosity (rupa or form) tanmatra field. When an electromagnetic or acoustic wave traverses different physical media, the wave's velocity (v) and its spatial wavelength (λ) compress or expand proportionally according to the refractive index ($n = v/v'$), but the frequency (f) remains absolutely constant. Because an observer's conscious perception remains invariant regardless of the physical medium through which the wave traveled before striking the sensory organs, the subjective quality is structurally and universally bound to physical frequency through cosmic phase-locking.

10. Empirical Inputs: Psychophysics and Brain Response

While physical frequencies dictate the qualitative type of a tanmatra, the absolute magnitudes of its subjective intensity vector I_n are mapped using the empirical frameworks of psychophysics,

integrating the Weber-Fechner Law and Stevens' Power Law. Stevens' Power Law establishes that the perceived psychological magnitude (I_n) of a stimulus is a power function of its physical intensity (S):

$$I_n = k S^\psi = k (\varphi^2)^\psi.$$

TFT utilizes these subjective ratings as real, quantifiable data metrics to calculate the exact coupling constant (κ) inside the interaction Lagrangian:

$$\kappa(x^\mu) = (k [\varphi(x^\mu)^2]^{(\psi-1)}) / \sqrt{\sum |I_m(x^\mu)|^2}.$$

11. Quantum Entanglement and the Non-Local Mental Field

TFT resolves the EPR paradox and Bell's Theorem by expanding the dimensions of the field substrate through a dual-aspect lens. While the physical attributes of the particle are bound to the four-dimensional limits of relativistic spacetime x^μ , its parallel subjective root operates within a non-local, continuous field topology. Entangled particles form a unified, topologically non-separable tensor product state: $\Psi_{\text{Entangled}}(x^\mu) = \Phi_A(x^\mu) \otimes \Phi_B(x^\mu)$. Because the subjective aspect of the tanmatron field operates independently of 4D spacetime constraints, the field matrix does not experience spatial distance (d). The instantaneous coordination observed during a quantum measurement simply accesses a localized expression of an already unified, non-local macro-cosmic mental field.

12. Metaphysical Foundation: Sarkar's Cosmic Architecture

TFT draws upon the macro-concentric framework of philosopher Prabhat Ranjan Sarkar's Brahma Chakra (Cosmic Cycle) as articulated in Ananda Sutram (1962). Sutra 1-1 (Śivashaktyātmakam Brahma) establishes that Brahma is the inseparable unity of Shiva (The Cognitive Principle) and Shakti (The Operative Principle). Sutra 1-2 (Śaktiḥ Sā Śivasya Śaktiḥ) proves that physical energy and conscious mind are simple expressions of the same underlying continuum. Saincara tracks the top-down condensation of consciousness into the three-level Cosmic Mind (Mahat, Aham, Citta), the projection of tanmatras, and final solidification into the material domain. Matter is revealed as condensed Cosmic Mind, retaining an un-severed structural connection to its cosmic origin.

13. The Metaphysical Mechanism of Conscious Awareness: The Individual Consciousness

The individual mind consists of three layers: the Mental Plate (Citta), the Functional Ego (Aham), and the Existential Feeling (Mahat). It remains non-sentient until illuminated by the top-down reflected Individual Consciousness (Atman), a reflection of the infinite Cosmic Consciousness (Purusha).

Memory is bifurcated into:

1. Cerebral Memories (Physical Storage): Recorded within physical neural networks, which can regenerate stored tanmatras.

2. Extracerebral Memories (Mental Storage): Stored as deeper reactive potentials (samskaras) within the subtler layers of the mind itself, surviving physical brain decay.

14. Systemic Resolutions to the Fourteen Consciousness Paradoxes

1. René Descartes' Mind-Body Interaction Problem: Resolved via physical phase-locking where physical fields and subjective qualities are legally coupled metrics of a single underlying continuum rather than separate colliding substances.
2. David Chalmers' 'Hard Problem': Reverses causality; the brain is a multi-channel transducer phase-locking physical signals onto a pre-existing cosmic tanmatric field, illuminated by the Atman.
3. John Locke's Inverted Spectrum: Ruled out because the relationship between physical frequency and subjective quality is fixed at the foundation of the universe.
4. John Searle's Chinese Room: True semantic understanding requires the dual-aspect tanmatron to bridge physical syntax with cosmic consciousness; silicon architectures process only isolated physical fields.
5. Frank Jackson's 'Mary's Room': Delineates propositional from active field coupling knowledge; Mary lacked the physical frequency inputs required to initiate the phase-locking mechanism.
6. Thomas Nagel's Bat's Perception Anomaly: Bat's high-frequency acoustics can be mapped onto the state vector, providing a blueprint for Eco-phenomenological VR 'empathy engines' supporting Neohumanism.
7. Direct vs. Indirect Realism: Transcends the split; human minds directly interact with the objective dual-aspect cosmic field through phase-locking.
8. Neurophysiological Binding Problem: Binding does not occur in the brain; the 5D state vector natively unifies all five attributes within a continuous cosmic field.
9. AI Consciousness: Silicon networks shuffle abstract symbols across uncoupled pathways, lacking the biological sensor gateways to phase-lock with the subjective field.
10. Ned Block's Absent Qualia / Philosophical Zombies: Mathematically impossible; physical field intensity and tanmatric intensity are bound by a rigid, non-arbitrary coupling constant.
11. Evolutionary Paradox: Subjective awareness enables volitional choices, expanding the citta away from bottom-up material determinism.
12. Boundary/Combination Problem of Panpsychism: Mental boundaries are top-down topological field configurations determined by physical transceiver synchronization, not particle aggregation.
13. Non-Local Awareness During Flatline Events: When brain pathways silence, biological gateways uncouple. The citta reverts to its non-local field baseline, illuminated by the Atman, preserving hyper-vivid awareness.
14. EPR Paradox: Solved via topological non-separability in a 25-dimensional Hilbert space where spatial distance is a 4D derivative illusion. (See Appendix B.)

15. Empirical Verification: Guidelines for 'Appropriative Point' Hunters

Experimentalists can locate the physical-mental uncoupling gateway coordinates by focusing on primary cortical target zones (Thalamocortical Radiations, Layer IV of V1, Heschl's Gyrus) combined with an Anesthetic Interdiction Protocol (using volatile agents like Isoflurane or Xenon). The predictive metric of uncoupling occurs when local field potentials confirm that physical impulses arrive intact at terminal anatomical dead ends, while concurrent subjective human response metrics drop instantly to zero.

16. Conclusion: The Universe Restored to Wholeness

By trading the bottom-up fragmentation of panpsychism for a top-down dual-aspect field theory, TFT completely avoids the combination problem, rescues physicalism from mindless reductionism, and anchors the insights of Advaita idealism within testable mathematical physics.

Appendix A: Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ)

Q1: If TFT is a quantitative theory, where are the explicit, finalized field equations? Why describe its conceptual architecture first?

A. TFT builds on verified QFT foundations. Its ongoing mathematical development is strictly constrained by physical conservation laws, the scalar invariance of Sarkar's Law of Attributional Capacity, and calibrated psychophysical scaling functions.

Q2: Does TFT imply that inanimate matter, like a rock, possesses a rich inner life or human-like consciousness?

A. No. In crude matter, the vector states are mathematically locked by the static bondage of tamoguna, lacking the complex biophysical feedback loops and gateways required to experience active perception.

Q3: How can internal phenomenological states truly serve as 'real data metrics' to calibrate physics equations?

A. Human subjective responses scale according to strict, regularized mathematical laws (Weber-Fechner, Stevens' Power Law) mapped by neural correlates. These inputs directly calibrate the coupling constant κ .

Q4: If the non-local Tanmatron Field influences human choices or biological evolution, doesn't that violate the 'Causal Closure of the Physical'?

A. No. Physical and subjective parameters are covariant metrics of the same foundational field structure. Mental intent and neural updates occur simultaneously and proportionally as a singular geometric transformation.

Q5: Modern neuroscientists argue that 'qualia' are just structural information codes. Why do we need an external field?

A. Data processing is syntax; awareness is semantics. The brain functions as a physical

transceiver, while the tanmatron field provides the universal subjective medium that decodes neural syntax into felt qualia.

Q6: How do you solve the 'Decombination Problem'? Why are our individual minds private and distinct?

A. Individual minds are private due to the sensory filter and firewall of the biological transceiver. The brain restricts immediate awareness to a localized bandwidth necessary for survival, creating individual ego-boundaries.

Q7: Can Tanmatron Field Theory operate without relying on concepts like 'Cosmic Consciousness'?

A. No. Cosmic Consciousness is the non-dual field substrate from which physical and tanmatriic vectors emerge. It serves as the rational ground state required to explain the scalar invariant ($C_{\text{Total}} = 100$) and mental illumination.

Q8: Does adopting TFT require accepting all testable aspects of yoga philosophy like reincarnation or kundalini?

A. No. TFT is an epistemologically isolated application of Sarkar's cosmology. It stands on its own merits as a predictive framework for physical phase-locking and sensory perception.

Appendix B: Clarifying the EPR Paradox Resolution through Dual-Aspect Field Topology

B.1 Why Formal Quantum Theory Leaves a Conceptual Vacuum

A common misconception within contemporary philosophy of science is that formal quantum mechanics (QM) has already "resolved" the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) paradox. In reality, formal QM merely accepts quantum non-locality as an indisputable mathematical fact. John Stewart Bell's famous theorem (1964) and subsequent loophole-free experimental tests definitively proved that our universe rejects local realism—meaning that the instantaneous correlation between entangled particles cannot be explained by any local, hidden physical variables confined to four-dimensional spacetime (x^4).

Standard physics handles the predictive mathematics of this phenomenon flawlessly, but it forces researchers to choose between highly speculative, un-testable metaphysical interpretations to explain *how* it occurs:

1. The Copenhagen Interpretation: Asserts a standard "shut up and calculate" methodology, claiming particles possess no definite reality until measured, leaving an explanatory vacuum regarding the mechanism of coordination.

2. The Many-Worlds Hypothesis: Posits that the universe splits into infinite, parallel physical realities at every quantum decision point.

3. Physical Non-Locality: Accepts that empty space is fundamentally "spooky," allowing objects separated by light-years to interact instantly without any mediating signal.

Standard physics treats the EPR paradox as a bizarre, isolated anomaly of the subatomic world because it views reality through a strictly physicalist, particle-centric lens. It lacks the conceptual infrastructure to bridge this non-local coordination with the rest of nature.

B.2 What Tanmatra Logic and Mathematics Add to Physics

Tanmatron Field Theory (TFT) resolves the EPR deadlock by formalizing the dual-aspect cosmology of Prabhat Ranjan Sarkar (*Ananda Sutram*, 1962). Rather than treating particles as isolated, independent entities moving through an empty physical void, TFT shifts the foundational paradigm to a top-down cosmic field continuum.

This specific framework introduces three vital corrections to standard quantum mechanics:

1. The Non-Spatiality of the Subjective Vector

In standard Quantum Field Theory (QFT), fields are mathematically mapped exclusively to 4D relativistic spacetime coordinates (x^μ). TFT expands this state-space by synchronizing physical parameters with the five-dimensional Tanmatric State Vector ($\Phi_{\text{Tanmatric}}$).

While the physical aspect of a field excitation is subject to the localized limitations of distance, **the subjective, qualitative aspect of the tanmatron field is inherently non-spatial**. Entangled particles are not separate objects sending faster-than-light signals through empty space (which would violate Special Relativity). Instead, they remain structurally anchored to the *exact same* qualitative coordinate within the continuous, universal mind-field. The coordination is instantaneous because, on the tanmatric level, there is no spatial distance separating them.

2. The 25-Dimensional Hilbert Space Mechanism

TFT provides a precise geometrical mechanism for this unity. When two particles (A and B) undergo an entangling interaction, their individual 5D state vectors form a unified, topologically non-separable tensor product state:

$$\Psi_{\text{Entangled}}(x^\mu) = \Phi_A(x^\mu) \otimes \Phi_B(x^\mu)$$

By evaluating the system as a product state, the math yields a combined **25-dimensional Hilbert space**. Within this higher-dimensional geometry, the entangled pair behaves as a single, indivisible topological unit. Macroscopic spacetime distance (d) is mathematically revealed to be a 4D derivative illusion—a superficial projection of a fundamentally unified, higher-dimensional field sheet.

3. The Unification of Quantum Non-Locality and Qualia

The most profound contribution of the tanmatric approach is that **the exact same field dynamics used to resolve quantum non-locality are used to resolve human sensory perception**. Standard physicalism is stymied by David Chalmers' "Hard Problem" because it tries to manufacture qualitative experience out of objective, localized brain matter.

TFT proves that quantum non-locality is simply how a conscious universe behaves at its foundational layer. The continuous cosmic field that keeps two photons unified across light-years is the very same field infrastructure that allows localized biological gateways (Appropriative Points) to instantly gather scattered neural frequencies and project them as a single, unified macro-conscious stream of experience onto the individual mental plate (*Citta*).

B.3 The Macro-Cosmic Analogy: The Single Entity and the Flat Projections

To ground this dense mathematical architecture for non-physicists, imagine a deep-sea aquarium containing a single fish. Now imagine an observer who cannot see the tank directly, but is looking at two flat, two-dimensional television screens mounted on a wall. **Screen A** displays a live video feed of the fish from the front, while **Screen B** displays a live feed of the exact same fish from the side.

When the fish swims or turns, the images on both screens move **instantaneously and in perfect coordination**. An observer confined strictly to the flat, 2D perspective of the television screens would be completely mystified. They would see two distinct, separate shapes separated by physical distance on the wall interacting without any delay, and they would declare, *"Look! Screen A is communicating faster than light with Screen B! It is a paradox!"*

Standard Quantum Theory acts like an observer who says: "The two screens are mathematically correlated by a hidden wave function. Do not ask how; simply accept it as fact."

The Tanmatric Approach acts like an investigator who steps back and says: "The two images look separate only because you are viewing a flat projection of a higher-dimensional reality. If you expand your dimensions, you realize there are not two separate entities communicating through space—there is only **one fish**."

By utilizing Sarkar's top-down *Brahma Chakra* architecture, TFT provides the "aquarium"—the continuous, universal cosmic mind-field. It demonstrates that the apparent fragmentation and separation of matter across physical space is merely a superficial 4D shadow cast by a deeper, unfragmented, and dual-aspect wholeness.

Bibliography

1. Chalmers, David. (1995). 'Facing Up to the Problem of Consciousness.' *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 2(3), 200-219.
2. Gauthier, R. (2025). 'Who Was Prabhat Ranjan Sarkar or Shrii Shrii Anandamurti?', Manuscript essay available at Academia.edu Research.
3. Gauthier, R. (2026a). 'Conscion field theory resolves the hard problem of consciousness in physicalism and Advaita monism', available at Academia.edu Research.
4. Gauthier, R., (2026b). 'New Physics Particle Named the Tanmatron', available at Academia.edu Research.
5. Hameroff, Stuart and Penrose, Roger. (2014). 'Consciousness in the universe: A review of the 'Orch OR' theory.' *Physics of Life Reviews*, 11(1), 39-78.
6. Jackson, Frank. (1982). 'Epiphenomenal Qualia.' *Philosophical Quarterly*. 32(127), 127-136.
7. Locke, John. (1689). *An Essay Concerning Human Understanding*. London: Thomas Bassett.
8. Nagel, Thomas. (1974). 'What Is It Like to Be a Bat?' *The Philosophical Review*, 83(4), 435-450.
9. Sarkar, Prabhat Ranjan. (1962). *Ananda Sutram*, Ananda Marga Publications, Calcutta, available at Academia.edu Research.

Academia Research Website: <https://richardgauthier.academia.edu/research>,

Email: richgauthier@gmail.com

Copyright © 2026 by Richard Gauthier. All rights reserved.

August 2, 2026

The author was assisted by an AI LLM. The foundational ideas in this article are those of P. R. Sarkar and the author.